arXiv:1404.2381v2 [math.CO] 10 Dec 2014

Coloring of the square of Kneser graph $K(2k+r,k)$

Seog-Jin KIM*

Department of Mathematics Education, Konkuk University, Korea
E-mail address: skim12@konkuk.ac.kr

Boram PARK†

Department of Mathematics, Ajou University, Korea
E-mail address: borampark@ajou.ac.kr

December 10, 2014

Abstract

The Kneser graph $K(n,k)$ is the graph whose vertices are the k-element subsets of an n elements set, with two vertices adjacent if they are disjoint. The square G^2 of a graph G is the graph defined on $V(G)$ such that two vertices u and v are adjacent in G^2 if the distance between u and v in G is at most 2. Determining the chromatic number of the square of the Kneser graph $K(n,k)$ is an interesting graph coloring problem, and is also related with intersecting family problem. The square of $K(2k,k)$ is a perfect matching and the square of $K(n,k)$ is the complete graph when $n \geq 3k-1$. Hence coloring of the square of $K(2k+1,k)$ has been studied as the first nontrivial case. In this paper, we focus on the question of determining $\chi(K^2(2k+r,k))$ for $r \geq 2$.

Recently, Kim and Park [8] showed that $\chi(K^2(2k+1,k)) \leq 2k+2$ if $2k+1 = 2^t - 1$ for some positive integer t. In this paper, we generalize the result by showing that for any integer r with $1 \leq r \leq k-2$,
(a) $\chi(K^2(2k+r,k)) \leq (2k+r)^r$, if $2k+r = 2^t$ for some integer t, and
(b) $\chi(K^2(2k+r,k)) \leq (2k+r+1)^r$, if $2k+r = 2^t - 1$ for some integer t.

On the other hand, it was showed in [8] that $\chi(K^2(2k+r,k)) \leq (r+2)(3k+\frac{3r+3}{2})^r$ for $2 \leq r \leq k-2$. We improve these bounds by showing that for any integer r with $2 \leq r \leq k-2$, we have $\chi(K^2(2k+r,k)) \leq 2\left(\frac{9}{4}k+\frac{9(r+3)}{8}\right)^r$. Our approach is also related with injective coloring and coloring of Johnson graph.

Keywords: Kneser graph, chromatic number, square of graph, injective coloring

1 Introduction and Main result

For a finite set X, let $\binom{X}{k}$ be the set of all k-element subsets of X. For $n \geq 2k$, for a finite set X with n elements, the *Kneser graph* $K(n,k)$ is the graph whose vertex set is $\binom{X}{k}$ and two vertices A

*This paper was supported by Konkuk University in 2014
†Corresponding author: borampark@ajou.ac.kr

and B are adjacent if and only if $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Kneser graphs have many interesting properties and have been the subject of many researches. The problem of computing the chromatic number of a Kneser graph was conjectured by Kneser and proved by Lovász [9] that $\chi(K(n,k)) = n-2k+2$.

For a simple graph G, the *square* G^2 of G is defined such that $V(G^2) = V(G)$ and two vertices x and y are adjacent in G^2 if and only if the distance between x and y in G is at most 2. We denote the square of the Kneser graph $K(n,k)$ by $K^2(n,k)$. Note that A and B are adjacent in $K^2(n,k)$ if and only if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ or $|A \cap B| \geq 3k-n$. Therefore, $K^2(n,k)$ is a complete graph if $n \geq 3k-1$, and $K^2(n,k)$ is a perfect matching if $n = 2k$. But for $2k+1 \leq n \leq 3k-2$, the exact value of $\chi(K^2(n,k))$ is not known. Thus coloring of the square of $K(2k+1,k)$ has been studied as the first nontrivial case. Kim and Nakprasit [6] showed that $\chi(K^2(2k+1,k)) \leq 4k+2$ for $k \geq 2$. Later, Chen, Lih, and Wu [2] improved the bound as $\chi(K^2(2k+1,k)) \leq 3k+2$ for $k \geq 3$. Recently, Kim and Park [8] showed that $\chi(K^2(2k+1,k)) \leq \frac{8}{3}k + \frac{20}{3}$ for $k \geq 2$.

In this paper, we focus on the question of determining $\chi(K^2(2k+r,k))$ for $r \geq 2$. Note that $K^2(2k+r,k)$ is a $\binom{k+r}{r}^2$-regular graph. Hence we have the trivial upper bounds, $\chi(K^2(2k+r,k)) \leq \binom{k+r}{r}^2$ for $k \geq 3$. On the other hand, we can show that $\binom{k+2r}{r} \leq \omega(K^2(2k+r,k))$. In fact, let S be the set of all k-subsets of $\{1,2,\ldots,2k+r\}$ which contains $\{1,2,\ldots,k-r\}$, and then $|A \cap B| \geq k-r$ for all A, B in S. Thus the subgraph of $K^2(2k+r,k)$ induced by S is a clique of size $\binom{k+2r}{r}$. Hence $\binom{k+2r}{r} \leq \chi(K^2(2k+r,k))$. Thus trivial bounds on $\chi(K^2(2k+r,k))$ are $\binom{k+2r}{r} \leq \chi(K^2(2k+r,k)) \leq \binom{k+r}{r}^2$. Note that finding the exact value of $\omega(K^2(2k+r,k))$ is related with very difficut intersecting family problems. Hence it would be an interesting problem to decide the exact value of $\omega(K^2(2k+r,k))$.

It is a well-known that $\left(\frac{m}{t}\right)^t \leq \binom{m}{t} \leq \left(\frac{m \cdot e}{t}\right)^t$ for $1 \leq t \leq m$. Thus for $2 \leq r \leq k-2$, the trivial bounds on $\chi(K^2(2k+r,k))$ can be stated as $a_r k^r \leq \chi(K^2(2k+r,k)) \leq b_r k^{2r}$ for some constant a_r and b_r. Recently, Kim and Park [8] showed that $c_r k^r \leq \chi(K^2(2k+r,k)) \leq d_r k^r$ for some constants c_r and d_r for $2 \leq r \leq k-2$. More precisely, they showed that $\chi(K^2(2k+r,k)) \leq (r+2)(3k+\frac{3r+3}{2})^r$ for $1 \leq r \leq k-2$. In this paper, we improve these bounds as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *For any integer r with $1 \leq r \leq k-2$,*

$$\chi(K^2(2k+r,k)) \leq 2\left(\frac{9}{4}k + \frac{9(r+3)}{8}\right)^r.$$

For special case, better upper bounds were known. Kim and Park [8] showed that $\chi(K^2(2k+1,k)) \leq 2k+2$ if $2k+1 = 2^t - 1$ for some integer t. In this paper, we generalize this result as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *For any integer r with $1 \leq r \leq k-2$, we have*
(a) $\chi(K^2(2k+r,k)) \leq (2k+r)^r$, if $2k+r = 2^t$ for some integer t,
(b) $\chi(K^2(2k+r,k)) \leq (2k+r+1)^r$, if $2k+r = 2^t - 1$ for some integer t.

An *injective k-coloring* of a graph is a k-coloring so that two vertices sharing a neighbor must have different colors. The *injective chromatic number* $\chi_i(G)$ of a graph G is the smallest integer k such that G admits an injective k-coloring. (See [1] for a survey). The coloring of G^2 is related with injective coloring of G. In general, for each graph G, we have $\Delta(G) \leq \chi_i(G) \leq \chi(G^2)$. Our

approach also gives an upper bound on the injective coloring of $K^2(2k+r,k)$. In Theorem 2.4, we show that $\chi_i(K(2k+r,k)) \le \left(\frac{9}{4}k + \frac{9(r+3)}{8}\right)^r$ for any integer r with $1 \le r \le k-2$.

Johnson graph $J(n,k)$ is the graph whose vertex set consists of all k-subsets of a fixed n-set, and two k-sets A and B are adjacent if and only if $|A \cap B| = k-1$. Let $J^m(2k+r,k)$ be the mth power of Johnson graph $J(2k+r,k)$, defined by the graph with the same vertex set with two vertices adjacent if they are in distance at most m in $J(2k+r,k)$. Then A and B are adjacent in $J^m(2k+r,k)$ if and only if $k-m \le |A \cap B| \le k-1$. Thus the coloring of rth power of the Johnson graph $J(2k+r,k)$ is the same as the injective coloring of $K(2k+r,k)$. In general, in Corollary 2.5 we show that $\chi(J^m(2k+r,k)) \le \left(\frac{9}{4}k + \frac{9(r+3)}{8}\right)^m$ for any integer m with $2 \le m \le r$.

On the other hand, Kim and Park [8] showed the for any real number $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\chi(K^2(2k+1,k))}{k} \le 2+\epsilon.$$

In this paper, we give a similar result for $r \ge 2$, that is, for any real number $\epsilon > 0$, and for any integer $r \ge 2$,

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\chi(K^2(2k+r,k))}{k^r} \le 2\cdot 2^r + \epsilon.$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3, we will give proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, respectively. In Section 4, we will discuss asymptotic results.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Throughout this section, fix positive integers k and r such that $1 \le r \le k-2$. Let F be a finite field, and let 0_F and 1_F be the identity under addition and multiplication, respectively, in F. For any nonnegative integer i and for $Z \subset F$, let $e_i(Z)$ be the sum of all distinct products of i distinct elements in Z. That is, when $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$,

$$e_i(Z) = \sum_{1\le t_1<t_2<\cdots<t_i\le n} z_{t_1} z_{t_2} \cdots z_{t_i}.$$

Define $e_0(Z) = 1_F$ and $e_i(Z) = 0_F$ if $i > |Z|$. For example, when $Z = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$, $e_1(Z) = x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4$, $e_2(Z) = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_4 + x_2x_3 + x_2x_4 + x_3x_4$, and $e_5(Z) = 0$.

The following is an easy observation, but it is useful.

Lemma 2.1. *For two disjoint sets X and Y,*

$$e_i(X \cup Y) = \sum_{s=0}^{i} e_s(X) e_{i-s}(Y).$$

Let $\binom{F}{k}$ denote the set of k-subsets of F. Define the function $f : \binom{F}{k} \to F^r$ by

$$f(A) = (e_1(A), e_2(A), \dots, e_r(A)). \tag{1}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let F be a finite field. If A and B are subsets of F such that $|A| = |B| = k$ and $k - r \leq |A \cap B| \leq k - 1$, then $f(A) \neq f(B)$ where f is the function defined in (1).*

Proof. We will prove the lemma by contradiction. Suppose that $f(A) = f(B)$. It implies that $e_i(A) = e_i(B)$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$. Note that $|A \cap B| = k - q$ for some $q \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$. Let $A' = A \setminus B = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_q\}$ and $B' = B \setminus A = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_q\}$.

We will show that $e_i(A') = e_i(B')$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$ by the induction on i. Since A' and $A \cap B$ are disjoint and B' and $A \cap B$ are disjoint, we have

$$e_1(A) = e_1(A') + e_1(A \cap B) = e_1(B') + e_1(A \cap B) = e_1(B).$$

Thus $e_1(A') = e_1(B')$. Therefore the basis step holds.

Now for some $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$, assume that $e_s(A') = e_s(B')$ for all $s \leq i$. We will show that $e_{i+1}(A') = e_{i+1}(B')$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$e_{i+1}(A) = e_{i+1}(A') + \sum_{s=0}^{i} e_s(A') e_{i+1-s}(A \cap B),$$

$$e_{i+1}(B) = e_{i+1}(B') + \sum_{s=0}^{i} e_s(B') e_{i+1-s}(A \cap B).$$

Note that $i + 1 \leq r$. Thus from the condition $e_{i+1}(A) = e_{i+1}(B)$,

$$e_{i+1}(A') + \sum_{s=0}^{i} e_s(A') e_{i+1-s}(A \cap B) = e_{i+1}(B') + \sum_{s=0}^{i} e_s(B') e_{i+1-s}(A \cap B). \tag{2}$$

Since $e_s(A') = e_s(B')$ for all $0 \leq s \leq i$ by the induction hypothesis, from (2) we have

$$e_{i+1}(A') = e_{i+1}(B').$$

Thus by the induction, we have $e_i(A') = e_i(B')$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}(b_1 - a_1)(b_1 - a_2) \cdots (b_1 - a_q) &= b_1^q - e_1(A') b_1^{q-1} + \cdots + e_q(A') \\ &= b_1^q - e_1(B') b_1^{q-1} + \cdots + e_q(B') \\ &= (b_1 - b_1)(b_2 - b_1) \cdots (b_q - b_1) = 0_F.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(b_1 - a_1)(b_1 - a_2) \cdots (b_1 - a_q) = 0_F$. Thus there is an element in $a_i \in A'$ such that $b_1 - a_i = 0_F$, since F is a field. Then $b_1 = a_i$, which is a contradiction to the fact that A' and B' are disjoint. □

The following is a recent result on the existence of a prime number in a certain interval.

Theorem 2.3. *[4] For any integer $n \geq 2$, there is a prime number p such that $n \leq p \leq \frac{9(n+3)}{8}$.*

Note that the function f in (1) admits an injective coloring of the Kneser graph $K(2k + r, k)$ by Lemma 2.2. Thus, from Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.4. *For any integer* $1 \le r \le k-2$, *we have* $\chi_i(K(2k+r,k)) \le \left(\frac{9}{4}k + \frac{9(r+3)}{8}\right)^r$.

Proof. Let k and r be positive integers with $1 \le r \le k-2$. From Theorem 2.3, there is a prime p such that $2k+r \le p \le \frac{9(2k+r+3)}{8} = \frac{9}{4}k + \frac{9(r+3)}{8}$. Let $F = \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a finite field with $2k+r \le |F| \le \frac{9}{4}k + \frac{9(r+3)}{8}$.

Now we take $X \subset F$ such that $|X| = 2k+r$. We define the Kneser graph $K(2k+r,k)$ on the ground set X. Note that two vertices A and B have a common neighbor in $K(2k+r,k)$ if and only if $k-r \le |A \cap B| \le k-1$. And note that $f(A) \neq f(B)$ when $k-r \le |A \cap B| \le k-1$ by Lemma 2.2. Thus f gives an injective coloring of G. This implies that $\chi_i(K(2k+r,k)) \le |F|^r \le \left(\frac{9}{4}k + \frac{9(r+3)}{8}\right)^r$. □

Now, we will complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Kim and Oum [7] showed that $\chi(G^2) \le 2\chi_i(G)$ for any graph G. Thus

$$\chi(K^2(2k+r,k)) \le 2 \cdot \chi_i(K(2k+r,k)) = 2\left(\frac{9}{4}k + \frac{9(r+3)}{8}\right)^r.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Then from Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.4, we have the following corollary about Johnson graph.

Corollary 2.5. *For* $2 \le m \le r$, *we have* $\chi(J^m(2k+r,k)) \le \left(\frac{9}{4}k + \frac{9(r+3)}{8}\right)^m$.

Proof. Let F be the finite field with $|F| = p$ for some prime p such that $2k+r \le p \le \frac{9}{4}k + \frac{9(r+3)}{8}$, and $X \subset F$ such that $|X| = 2k+r$. We define the Johnson graph $J(2k+r,k)$ on the ground set X. Then two vertices A and B in $J^m(2k+r,k)$ are adjacent if and only if $|A \cap B| = k-q$ for some $q \in \{1,2,\ldots,m\}$. Then the function $f : \binom{F}{k} \to F^m$ defined by

$$f(A) = (e_1(A), e_2(A), \ldots, e_m(A)),$$

gives a proper coloring of $\chi(J^m(2k+r,k))$ by the same argument of Lemma 2.2. Thus

$$\chi(J^m(2k+r,k)) \le |F|^m \le \left(\frac{9}{4}k + \frac{9(r+3)}{8}\right)^m.$$

□

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In Theorem 2.4, we showed that the function f defined in (1) gives an injective coloring of $K(2k+r,k)$. In this section, we will show that when $2k+r = 2^t$ or $2^t - 1$ for some integer t, the function f also gives a proper coloring of the square of the Kneser graph $K(2k+r,k)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $r \geq 2$. Let F be a finite field of characteristic 2 and let X be a subset of F with $|X| = 2k + r$ such that $e_{2m+1}(X) = 0_F$ for any nonnegative integer m with $2m + 1 \leq r$. If A and B are two disjoint subsets in X with $|A| = |B| = k$, then $f(A) \neq (B)$ where f is the function defined in (1).*

Proof. Suppose that $f(A) = f(B)$. Then by the definition of f,

$$e_i(A) = e_i(B) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r. \tag{3}$$

Claim 3.2. *For any nonnegative integer m with $2m+1 \leq r$, we have $e_{2m+1}(X \setminus (A \cup B)) = 0_F$.*

Proof. Let $S = X \setminus (A \cup B)$. We will prove the claim by the induction on m. Since $e_1(X) = 0_F$, we have

$$0_F = e_1(X) = e_1(S) + e_1(A) + e_1(B).$$

By (3),

$$0_F = e_1(X) = e_1(S) + 2e_1(A) = e_1(S).$$

Therefore $e_1(S) = 0_F$, so the claim is true when $m = 0$.

Now suppose that $e_{2i+1}(S) = 0_F$ for all i such that $1 \leq 2i + 1 < 2m + 1$. Next, we will show that $e_{2m+1}(S) = 0_F$. Note that

$$0_F = e_{2m+1}(X) = e_{2m+1}(S) + \sum_{s=1}^{2m+1} e_s(A \cup B) e_{2m+1-s}(S).$$

By the induction hypothesis that $e_{2i+1}(S) = 0_F$ for all i with $1 \leq 2i+1 < 2m+1$, it is simplified into

$$0_F = e_{2m+1}(S) + \sum_{s=1}^{m+1} e_{2s-1}(A \cup B) e_{2m+1-(2s-1)}(S). \tag{4}$$

Now, for any positive integer s such that $2s - 1 \leq r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{2s-1}(A \cup B) &= \sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} e_i(A) e_{2s-1-i}(B) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} e_i(A) e_{2s-1-i}(A) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (e_i(A) e_{2s-1-i}(A) + e_{2s-1-i}(A) e_i(A)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} 2e_i(A) e_{2s-1-i}(A) = 0_F, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is from (3), and the last equality is from the fact that the characteristic of F is 2. Thus from (4), we have $e_{2m+1}(S) = 0_F$. This completes the proof of Claim 3.2. □

Now for $S = X \setminus (A \cup B)$, we consider a polynomial $g(x) = \Pi_{a \in S}(x - a)$ in $F[x]$. Note that $|S| = r$, since $|X| = 2k + r$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Then

$$g(x) = x^r - e_1(S)x^{r-1} + e_2(S)x^{r-2} + \cdots + (-1)^i e_i(S)x^{r-i} + \cdots + (-1)^r e_r(S).$$

Note that S is the set of all the zeros of the polynomial $g(x)$. By Claim 3.2, $e_i(S) = 0_F$ for any positive odd integer i with $i \leq r$. Thus if r is even, then

$$g(x) = x^r + e_2(S)x^{r-2} + e_4(S)x^{r-4} + \cdots + e_r(S).$$

On the other hand, if r is odd, then

$$g(x) = x\big(x^{r-1} + e_2(S)x^{r-3} + e_4(S)x^{r-5} + \cdots + e_{r-1}(S)\big).$$

Case 1: when r is even

Define

$$h(y) = y^{\frac{r}{2}} + e_2(S)y^{\frac{r-2}{2}} + e_4(S)y^{\frac{r-4}{2}} + \cdots + e_r(S).$$

Then by substituting $x^2 = y$, we have $h(y) = g(x)$. Note that for any $a \in S$, $b = a^2$ is a root of $h(y)$, but the polynomial $h(y)$ has at most $\frac{r}{2}$ roots. Since $r \geq 2$, it holds that $|S| = r > \frac{r}{2}$. Thus there are distinct elements p and q in S such that $p^2 = q^2$. Then $p^2 + q^2 = 0_F$ since the characteristic of F is 2. Since $(p+q)^2 = p^2 + q^2$, $(p+q)^2 = 0_F$. Therefore $p + q = 0_F$. It implies that $p = q$, which is a contradiction to the fact that p and q are distinct.

Case 2: when r is odd

Then $g(x) = x\phi(x^2)$ where

$$\phi(y) = y^{\frac{r-1}{2}} + e_2(S)y^{\frac{r-3}{2}} + e_4(S)y^{\frac{r-5}{2}} + \cdots + e_{r-1}(S).$$

Note that for any $a \in S$, $b = a^2$ is a root of $\phi(y)$, but $g(x)$ has at most $\frac{r-1}{2} + 1$ roots. Since $r \geq 2$, it holds that $|S| = r > \frac{r-1}{2} + 1$. It follows that $p^2 = q^2$ for some two distinct elements $p, q \in S$. Then $p^2 + q^2 = 0_F$, which is a contradiction to the fact that p and q are distinct. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.3. *Let F be a finite field of characteristic 2. For $2 \leq r \leq k - 1$, if there is a subset X of F such that $|X| = 2k + r$ and $e_{2m+1}(X) = 0_F$ for all nonnegative integer m with $2m + 1 \leq r$, then $\chi(K^2(2k + r, k)) \leq |F|^r$.*

Proof. Let F be a finite field such that $|F| = 2^t$, and X be a subset of F such that $|X| = 2k + r$ and $e_{2m+1}(X) = 0_F$ for all integers m such that $2m + 1 \leq r$. We define the Kneser graph $K(2k + r, k)$ on the ground set X, and denote $G = K(2k + r, k)$. Let f be the function defined in (1). Let AB be an edge in G^2 for some two vertices A, B of G^2. If $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $k - r \leq |A \cap B| < k - 1$, and so $f(A) \neq f(B)$ by Lemma 2.2. If $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $f(A) \neq f(B)$ by Lemma 3.1. Hence f gives a proper coloring of G^2, and $\chi(G^2)$ is at most the size of the range of f. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The case where $r = 1$ is shown in [8]. We suppose that $r \geq 2$. Let F be a field with $|F| = 2^t$. Since F is the splitting field of the polynomial $x^{2^t} - x$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$, $e_{2m+1}(F)$ is the coefficient of the term $x^{2^t-(2m+1)}$ in the polynomial $x^{2^t} - x$. Note that if $2m + 1 \leq r$, then $2m + 1 \leq r = 2^t - 2k \leq 2^t - 3$ for $k \geq 2$. Thus

$$e_{2m+1}(F) = 0_F \quad \text{for any nonnegative integer } m \text{ such that } 2m + 1 \leq r. \tag{5}$$

Now, put $X = F$, then (a) holds by Theorem 3.3.

On the other hand, from (5), we have

$$e_{2m+1}(F \setminus \{0_F\}) = 0_F \quad \text{for any nonnegative integer } m \text{ such that } 2m + 1 \leq r.$$

Put $X = F \setminus \{0_F\}$, then (b) holds by Theorem 3.3. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

Furthermore, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *Let k and r be integers such that $k \geq 2$ and $1 \leq r \leq k - 2$. Then the following holds.*

(i) if $2k + r = 2^t - 2^{t'}$ for some integers $t \geq t' \geq \log_2(r + 2)$, then $\chi(K^2(2k + r, k)) \leq (2k + r + 2^{t'})^r$.

(ii) if $2k + r = 2^t - 2^{t'} + 1$ for some integers $t \geq t' \geq \log_2(r + 2)$, then $\chi(K^2(2k + r, k)) \leq (2k + r + 2^{t'})^r$.

Proof. Let F be a field with $|F| = 2^t$.

Claim 3.5. *Let X and Y be subsets of F such that $e_{2m+1}(X) = e_{2m+1}(Y) = 0_F$ for all integers m satisfying $1 \leq 2m + 1 \leq r$. If $X \subset Y$ then for any integer m satisfying $1 \leq 2m + 1 \leq r$, we have $e_{2m+1}(Y \setminus X) = 0_F$.*

Proof. We will prove by the induction on m. By Lemma 2.1, by the assumption that $e_1(X) = e_1(Y) = 0_F$, we have

$$0_F = e_1(Y) = e_1(X) + e_1(Y \setminus X) = e_1(Y \setminus X),$$

and so the basis step holds.

Suppose that $e_{2q+1}(Y \setminus X) = 0_F$ for all integers q such that $0 \leq q < m$ for some $m \geq 1$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0_F = e_{2m+1}(Y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{2m+1} e_i(X) e_{2m+1-i}(Y \setminus X) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m} e_{2i}(X) e_{2m+1-2i}(Y \setminus X) \\ &= e_{2m+1}(Y \setminus X). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the third equality is from the assumption that $e_{2q+1}(X) = 0_F$ for all $2q + 1 \leq r$, and the last equality is from the induction hypothesis. This completes the proof of Claim 3.5. □

Since F is a splitting field of the polynomial $x^{2^t} - x$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$, $e_{2m+1}(F) = 0_F$ for any nonnegative integer m such that $2m+1 \leq r$ as it is the coefficient of the term $x^{2^t-(2m+1)}$ in the polynomial $x^{2^t} - x$. Let t and t' be integers with $t \geq t' \geq \log_2(r+2)$. Let F' be a subfield of F with $|F'| = 2^{t'}$. Since F' is the splitting field of $x^{2^{t'}} - x$ and $2^{t'} - 2 \geq r$, we have

$$e_{2m+1}(F') = 0_F \quad \text{for any nonnegative integer } m \text{ such that } 2m+1 \leq r. \tag{6}$$

When $2k + r = 2^t - 2^{t'}$, put $X = F \setminus F'$. Then $|X| = 2k + r$ and $e_{2m+1}(X) = 0_F$ by Claim 3.5, (5), and (6). Thus (i) holds by Theorem 3.3.

When $2k+r = 2^t - 2^{t'} + 1$, put $X = (F \setminus F') \cup \{0_F\}$. Then $|X| = 2k+r$ and $e_{2m+1}(X) = 0_F$ by Claim 3.5, (5) and (6). Thus (ii) holds by Theorem 3.3. □

4 Further Discussion

Kim and Park [8] show that for integers k and n, if $2k+1 = (2^n - 1)p + r$ where $p \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$, and $0 \leq r \leq 2^n - 2$,

$$\chi(K^2(2k+1, k)) \leq \frac{2^{n+1}}{2^n - 1}k + \frac{2^n(2^{n+1} - 3)}{2^n - 1}. \tag{7}$$

From (7), the following corollary was obtained.

Corollary 4.1 ([8], Corollary 2.8)**.** *For any fixed real number $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer k_0 depending on ϵ such that*

$$\chi(K^2(2k+1, k)) \leq (2+\epsilon)(k + \sqrt{2k+1}),$$

for any positive integer $k \geq k_0$. Thus for any fixed real number $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\chi(K^2(2k+1, k))}{k} \leq 2 + \epsilon.$$

From the previous results of $\chi(K^2(2k+1, k))$ in [2, 6, 8], we have $\chi(K^2(2k+1, k)) \leq \alpha k + \beta$ for some constant real numbers α and β. We would like to find the optimal value of α for some constant β. The equality (7) and Corollary 4.1 imply that the leading coefficient α in $\alpha k + \beta$ can be decreased as we increase k. It was showed in [8] that $\chi(K^2(2k+1, k)) \leq \frac{8}{3}k + \frac{20}{3}$ for $k \geq 3$. However, we have understood that better upper bounds on $\chi(K^2(2k+1, k))$ can be obtained by increasing k slightly. If $k \geq 7$, we can assume that $n \geq 4$ and $p = 1$ in $2k+1 = (2^n - 1)p + r$. Thus we have the following better upper bounds.

Corollary 4.2. *For $k \geq 7$, we have*

$$\chi(K^2(2k+1, k)) \leq \frac{32}{15}k + 32.$$

Now we discuss a similar asymptotic result for $\chi(K^2(2k+r, k))$ where $2 \leq r \leq k-1$. We start from the following theorem in [5].

Theorem 4.3. *[5] For any integer $n \geq 3275$, there is a prime p such that $n \leq p \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\ln^2 n}\right) n$.*

Theorem 4.4. *For any positive real number ϵ,*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\chi_i(K(2k+r,k))}{k^r} \leq 2^r + \epsilon.$$

Proof. For any fixed real number $\epsilon > 0$, let

$$\delta = \frac{(2^r+\epsilon)^{1/r} - 2}{2}.$$

Then clearly, $\delta > 0$, and so there exists an integer n_0 such that $\frac{1}{2(\ln n_0)^2} < \delta$. Let n_0 be a fixed integer with $n_0 \geq 3275$ and satisfying $\frac{1}{2(\ln n_0)^2} < \delta$. Then for any integer n with $n \geq n_0$, we have $\frac{1}{2(\ln n)^2} < \delta$. By Theorem 4.3, for any integer n with $n \geq n_0$, there exists a prime p_n such that

$$n \leq p_n \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2(\ln n)^2}\right) n < n + \delta n. \tag{8}$$

Let k be a sufficiently large integer such that $2k + r \geq n_0$. Then for $n = 2k + r$, there exists a prime p_{2k+r} such that $p_{2k+r} < (2k + r) + \delta(2k + r)$ from (8). Let F be a finite field with $|F| = p_{2k+r}$. Let G be the Kneser graph $K(2k + r, k)$ on the ground set X where X is a subset of F. Then

$$\chi_i(G) \leq |F|^r = p_{2k+r}^r < \big((2k+r) + \delta(2k+1)\big)^r = \big((2+2\delta)k + r(1+\delta)\big)^r.$$

Since

$$2 + 2\delta = 2 + (2^r+\epsilon)^{1/r} - 2 = (2^r+\epsilon)^{1/r},$$

we have

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\chi_i(K(2k+r,k))}{k^r} \leq 2^r + \epsilon.$$

□

From the fact that $\chi(G^2) \leq 2\chi_i(G)$ for any graph G, by the same argument in Theorem 4.4, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5. *For any real number $\epsilon > 0$, and for any integer $r \geq 2$, we have*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\chi(K^2(2k+r,k))}{k^r} \leq 2 \cdot 2^r + \epsilon.$$

Remark 4.6. Note that the result $\chi(K^2(2k + r, k)) \leq (r + 2)(3k + \frac{3r+3}{2})^r$ in [8] implies that

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\chi(K^2(2k+r,k))}{k^r} \leq (r+2) \cdot 3^r + \epsilon.$$

Hence Corollary 4.5 implies that the leading term of the upper bound on $\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\chi(K^2(2k+r,k))}{k^r}$ is reduced from $(r + 2) \cdot 3^r$ to $2 \cdot 2^r$.

References

[1] Borodin, O.V.: Colorings of plane graphs: A survey, *Discrete Math.* **313** (2013), 517-539.

[2] Chen, J.-Y., Lih, K.-W., Wu, J.: Coloring the square of the Kneser graph $KG(2k+1,k)$ and the Schrijver graph $SG(2k+2,2)$, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **157** (2009), 170–176.

[3] Deza, M., Erdős, P., Frankl, P.: Intersection properties of the systems of finite sets, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **36** (1978), 369–384.

[4] Paz, G.A.: On the interval [n; 2n]: Primes, composites and perfect powers, *Gen. Math. Notes* **15** (2013), 1–15.

[5] Dusart, P.: Autour de la fonction qui compte le nombre de nombres pre- miers, Ph.D. Thesis, Université de Limoges, (1998).

[6] Kim, S.-J., Nakprasit, K.: On the chromatic number of the square of the Kneser graph $K(2k+1,k)$, *Graphs Combin.* **20** (2004), 79–90.

[7] Kim, S.-J., Oum, S.: Injective chromatic number and chromatic number of the square of graphs, manuscript, 2009.

[8] Kim, S.-J., Park, B.: Improved bounds on the chromatic numbers of the square of Kneser graphs, *Discrete Math.* **315** (2014), 69-74.

[9] Lovász, L.: Kneser's conjecture, chromatic number and homotopy, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* **25** (1978), 319–324.

[10] Mináč, J.: Newton's identities once again!, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **110** (2003), 232–234.